WardGreenberg

NEW YORK PENNSYLVANIA NEW JERSEY DELAWARE

Joshua M. Agins t. 585 454 0759 f. 585 231 1918 jagins@wardgreenberg.com

December 16, 2019

Via CM/ECF

Hon. Vincent L. Briccetti United States District Judge United States Courthouse 300 Quarropas Street, Room 630 White Plains, NY 10601

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICA

Re:

Jane Doe v. Sarah Dawrence College et al. (Case No. 7:19-cv-10028)

Dear Judge Briccetti:

We represent the defendants in the above-referenced action. On behalf of defendants, we have agreed to accept service of process of plaintiff's Complaint, and we will be filing & Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) motion on or before Friday, December 20, 2019. In conjunction with that motion, the defendants will file exhibits that contain highly sensitive information, including the names of plaintiff and other Sarah Lawrence College students who were involved in the underlying disciplinary proceedings, as well as other identifying information such as telephone numbers, email addresses, and dorm room information. Redacting this information, in our view, would make the documents quite cumbersome for the parties and the Court to read and utilize.

By Order dated October 31, 2019, the Court granted plaintiff's request to proceed pseudonymously in this matter. (Dkt. 5). The rationale articulated in that Order applies even more powerfully to the exhibits that defendants intend to file, given the fact that the exhibits contain idehtifying information not just of plaintiff, but also of students who are not parties to this litigation. Accordingly, defendants respectfully request permission to file their motion exhibits only under seal. So that we can present an Order to the Clerk at the time of filing, we would ask the Court to So-Order this request below if this course of action is acceptable to the Court. I have conferred with plaintiff's counsel, who consents to this request. APPLICATION GRANTED.

Respectfully,

Joshua M. Agins

JMA/sb

CC: Via CM/ECF-

> James R. Marsh, Esq. Gina M. Decrescenzo, Esq. Thomas S. D'Antonio, Esq.

> > 1800 BAUSCH & LOMB PL

motion to dismiss.

SO ORDERE

Although the relevant documents are judicial documents

presumption in favor of public access, Lugosch v. Pyramid

Co. of Onondaga, 435 F.3d 100, 119 (2d Cir. 2006), the

Court finds defendants have shown a sufficient basis to

justify filing under seal the exhibits to their anticipated

subject to a common law and First Amendment

Vincent L. Briccetti, U.S.D.J.